Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,967	ISSLER, JAMES E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Brittain	3677
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>James R. Brittain</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Chen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2005	Time: <u>11:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		·
Claims discussed: 19,21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		:
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reached to amend claims 19 and 20 by making editorial revisions to make the claims more closely descriptive of the disclosure and to indicate that the removably attachable mechanism is what removably attaches the receiver to the shoe		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
Shall the state of		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)